# The Effect of Probiotic Supplement as Adjuvant Therapy on Dyspeptic Symptoms and Quality of Life during *Helicobacter pylori* Eradication Therapy in a Sample of Iraqi Patients with Peptic Ulcer Disease Aseel Mohammed Ameen<sup>\*</sup>, Manal Khalid Abdulridha<sup>\*\*</sup>, Akram Ajeel Najeeb<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

\*Children Welfare Teaching Hospital, Medical City, Iraq \*\*Department of Clinical Pharmacy/College of Pharmacy/Al-Mustansiriya University \*\*\* Consultant Gastroenterologist, AL-Dawly Private Hospital aseelmohammed1988@gmail.com DOI: https://doi.org/10.32947/ajps.18.02.0385

#### Abstract:

Infection with Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) had been associated with development of certain symptoms in addition to the use of standard eradication triple therapy has resulted in the increased incidence of undesirable side effects, which can lead to reduced compliance. Probiotics have been proposed to contribute to their efficacy in increasing H. pylori eradication and decreasing side effects when used together with eradication therapy. This study was intended to minimize the side effects of antibiotics therapy and the symptoms associated with peptic ulcer disease (PUD) and improving patient's quality of life (QOL). This interventional prospective randomized- controlled, open-label study was carried out on 77 patients diagnosed with positive H. pylori infection during the period from September 2017 to April 2018. The incidence and intensity of symptom score that caused by adverse effects of both infection and therapy were highly significantly decrease in terms of epigastric pain, bloating, flatulence, taste disturbance, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting, and heartburn after 2 months of treatment within each study group correspondingly all domains of the quality of life were significantly improved in all patients after H. pylori eradication within each study group. From the present study concluded that the administra-tion of probiotics as adjuvant to standard triple therapy may suggest a role in improving the symptoms and reduce the adverse effects accompanying with the eradication therapy for H. pylori thus improving patient's quality of life.

Key words: Peptic Ulcer Disease, H. pylori, Probiotics.

الخلاصة:

الأصابة بعدوى البكتيريا الملوية البوابية قد ارتبطت بظهور بعض الأعراض بالإضافة إلى استخدام العلاج الثلاثي القياسي القاضي على البكتريا أدى إلى زيادة في حدوث آثار جانبية غير مرغوب فيها ، مثل الإسهال المرتبط بالمضادات الحيوية والغثيان أو القيء ، وبالتالي عدم التزام المرضى للعلاج. وقد تم اقتراح استخدام البروبايوتك للمساهمة في فعاليتها في القضاء على البكتريا الملوية البوابية والقضاء على الآثار الجانبية عند استخدامها جنبا إلى جنب مع العلاج الثلاثي. تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقليل الأثار الجانبية للمضادات الحيوية والأعراض المرتبطة بمرض القرحة المعاهمة في معاليتها في وريادة نوعية حياة المرضى العربية المضادات الحيوية والأعراض المرتبطة بمرض القرحة المعنمية بالإضافة الى زيادة نوعية حياة المرضى. اجريت هذه الدراسة التداخلية العشوائية المحتملة المسيطر عليها والمفتوحة التسمية على 77 مريضاً تم تشخيص إصابتهم بالعدوى الملوية البوابية خلال الفترة من سبتمبر 2017 إلى أبريل 2018. انخفض حدوث وشدة الاعراض الناجمة عن الآثار االجانبية لكل من العدوى والعلاج انخفاضاً كبيراً للغاية من حيث الم المعدة ، انتفاخ البطن ، اضطراب الذوق ، فقدان الشهية ، الغثيان ، التقيؤ ، والحرقة بعد شهرين من العلاج داخل كل مجموعة من مجاميع الدراسة في المقابل تحسنت جميع مجالات نوعية الحياة في جميع المرضى بشكل ملحوظ بعد القضاء على البكتريا الملوية البوابية في كل مجموعة. من الدراسة الحالية نستنتج إلى أن اضافة البروبايوتك كمساعد للعلاج الثلاثي القياسي قد يظهر دوراً فعالاً في تحسين لأعراض والحد من الآثار الجانبية المصاحبة للعلاج الثلاثي القياسي على البكتريا الملوية البوابية وبالتالي تحسين نوعية حياة المرضى.

الكلمات المفتاحية : مرض القرحة الهضمية ، البكتريا الملوية البوابية ،البروبايوتك

# **Introduction:**

Gastric colonization with H. pylori induces histologic gastritis in all infected individuals, but the disease remains asymptomatic, as only a minority of patients (10-15%) develops any apparent clinical signs of this colonization <sup>[1]</sup>. When symptoms occur, they may include a burning pain in the middle or upper stomach between meals or at night, bloating, heartburn, nausea or vomiting <sup>[2]</sup>. In addition to The administration of antibiotics for 10-14 days and high-dose PPI (twice a day) has been recommended for H. pylori eradication therapy by the Maastricht IV consensus conference <sup>[3]</sup>, this has resulted in the increased incidence of undesirable side effects. such as antibiotic-associated diarr-hea, nausea or vomiting, during anti-H. Pylori therapy, which can lead to reduced compliance and thereby eradication failure <sup>[4]</sup>. Consequently, new therapies or adjunctive treatments to standard erad-ication regimens are needed <sup>[5]</sup>. Probiotics as defined by the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO) are live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on host. Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium species were the most extensively studied probiotics for treating and/or preventing gastrointestinal diseases <sup>[6]</sup>. H. pylori might subclinically impair infection various mental and physical aspects of a patient's OOL. Several authors have reported that the QOL of patients with epigastric symptoms was improved after the eradication of *H. pylori*<sup>[7]</sup>. The QOL domains include : Physical Functioning (PF) to assess both the presence and extent

of physical limitation, Role-Physical (RP)

to assess role limitations due to physical health problems, Bodily Pain (BP) to measure the severity of bodily pain experienced by the respondent during the indicated interval of time, General Health (GH) to capture an overall rating of health, Vitality (VT) to captures differences in subjective well-being by measuring the respondent's energy level, Social Functioning (SF) to Assess health-related effects on the quantity of social activities, in terms of the impact of physical health and emotional problems on such activities, Role-Emotional (RE) to assess role limitations owing to personal or emotional problems. Like the RP scale, it captures the impact of role limitations on performing work or other usual activities and Mental Health (MH)<sup>[8]</sup>. Probiotics have been proposed to contribute to their efficacy in increasing H. pylori eradication and decreasing side effects when used together with eradication therapy <sup>[9]</sup>.

### Patients and methods

An interventional prospective randomizedcontrolled, open-label study designed to minimize the side effects of antibiotics therapy and the symptoms associated with PUD in addition to improving patients QOL. A total of 77 patients diagnosed with *H. pylori* infection (44 males and 33 females) who attended the private clinic of the consultant gastroenterologist and Al-Dawly private hospital were enrolled in the study after signing a written consent with ethical approval released by the institution scientific committee. The eligible patients were allocated into 4 groups:

**Group 1**: include (23) patients treated with standard *H. pylori* eradication triple therapy (clarithromycin 500 mg tablets,

amoxicillin 1g capsules, esomeprazole 20mg tablets) to be given twice daily for 14 days duration plus probiotic supplement to be given one capsule twice daily for 14 days", which represents 'same', i.e. probiotic administration simultaneously with the standard eradication regimen for a total period of 14 days.

**Group 2:** include (19) patients treated with probiotic supplement monotherapy to be given one capsule twice daily for 14 days, and then continue concurrently with the standard *H. pylori* eradication triple therapy, which represents 'before', i.e. probiotic used prior to the eradication regimen then continuing until the end of the eradication treatment for a total period of 28 days.

**Group 3:** include (18) patients treated with standard *H. pylori* eradication triple therapy plus probiotic supplement to be given one capsule twice daily for 14 days, followed by probiotics monotherapy for other 14 days, which represents: 'after', i.e. probiotic administered concomitantly with the eradication treatment and then continuing as a monotherapy when the eradication regimen has ended.

**Group 4**: include (17) patients treated with only standard *H. pylori* eradication triple therapy for 14 days period, which represent the control group.

The probiotic supplement includes acidophilus probiotic blend 1 billion culture with the prebiotic fructooli-gosaccharide (FOS), designed to aid in the maintenance of probiotic activity in the intestine. The amoxicillin and clarithromycin were administered after breakfast and dinner, esomeprazole was taken before breakfast and dinner, and meanwhile probiotic was given before the breakfast and dinner. All patients continue on esomeprazole only and were followed for 8 weeks.

All patients enrolled in this study were asked to answer a validated questionnaire about dyspeptic symptoms, and also the potential symptoms caused by the adverse effects of treatment. The questionnaire was carried out before treatment, on the day 7, day 30, and day 60 after completion of treatment course. Each symptom was quantified as absent =zero, mild =1, moderate =2 and severe =3. The incidence and intensity of symptoms in all patient were evaluated thereafter.

The patients' QOL was evaluated using the short form 8(SF-8) questionnaire. The SF-8 consists of 8 questions for the following domain: (PF, RP, BP, GH, VT, SF, RE, MH). The patient must provide one answer for each of the 8 questions. The scores for 2 summaries (the physical component summary [PCS] and the mental component summary [MCS]) are calculated. The SF-8 questionnaire carried out at baseline and 8 weeks later <sup>[7]</sup>.

Scoring the SF-8 health domain scales consists of assigning the mean SF-36v2 scale score, from the 1998 U.S. general population, to each response category of the SF-8 item measuring the same concept <sup>[8]</sup>. The mean SF-36v2 standard form scores assigned to each SF-8 item's response categories yield T-score means of 50 for each SF-8 scale, based on the 1998 U.S. general population normative data. Each item is then considered a scale, with higher scores indicating better health. Scoring of the SF-8 is available through Quality Metric Incorporated or its authorized resellers <sup>[8]</sup>. The SPSS 21.0 was used to make the statistical analysis. (P > 0.05)are not significant while (P < 0.05) significant and (P < 0.01) are highly significant

# Results

# Demographic data and disease characteristics

The gender distribution of the study groups (female: male) ratio was as follows: Group 1 (30.43% vs 69.57%), group 2 (68.42% vs 31.58%), group 3 (38.89% vs 61.11%), and group 4 (35.29% vs 64.71%) respect-tively. The duration of symptoms of < 1 years was reported from (35.29%) to (63.16%), duration of symptoms between (1-5) years reported from (10.53%) to (47.06%), and duration of symptoms > 5 years reported from (4.35%) to (22.22%)

among patients in the present study. There was no statistically significant difference in the mean values of gender, age, BMI

and duration of symptoms between the study group's patients (P > 0.05), (Table1).

Table (1): Demographic data and disease characteristics of patients with H. pylori
induced peptic ulcer

	Study groups									
Variable	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	P					
	$(n=2\bar{3})$	( <b>n=19</b> )	(n=18)	(n=17)	value					
	n %	n %	n %	n %						
Gender <sup>a</sup> :										
Female	7 (30.43)	13 (68.42)	7 (38.89)	6 (35.29)						
Male	16 (69.57)	6 (31.58)	11 (61.11)	11 (64.71)	$0.07^{NS}$					
Total	23 (100)	19 (100)	18 (100)	17 (100)						
Age <sup>b</sup> :										
21-30	4 (17.39)	5 (26.32)	8 (44.44)	8 (47.06)						
31-40	8 (34.78)	5 (26.32)	6 (33.33)	3 (17.65)						
41-50	4 (17.39)	3 (15.79)	0 (0.00)	4 (23.53)	0.29 <sup>NS</sup>					
51-60	5 (21.74)	2 (10.53)	1 (5.56)	1 (5.88)						
61-70	2 (8.70)	4 (21.05)	3 (16.67)	1 (5.88)						
BMI <sup>c</sup> kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.72±	$28.49 \pm$	24.46±4.32	26.40±4.35	$0.06^{NS}$					
	4.74	5.51								
Symptoms										
duration <sup>b</sup> :										
<1	12 (52.17)	12 (63.16)	7 (38.89)	6 (35.29)						
1-5	10 (43.48)	5 (26.32)	7 (38.89)	8 (47.06)	$0.44^{NS}$					
> 5	1 (4.35)	2 (10.53)	4 (22.22)	3 (17.65)						

Data presented as Mean  $\pm$ SD, (n) is the number of patients and (%) is a percentage. (a): Pearson chi-square test, (b): Fisher exact probability test, (c): One-way ANOVA test. NS: Nonsignificant (*P*>0.05).

#### Incidence and Intensity of Dyspeptic Symptoms in Patients with *H. pylori*-Induced PUD throughout the study Intervals

The mean incidence and intensity of epigastric pain, bloating and flatulence throughout the study intervals from the baseline, 7 days, 1 month, and 2 months within each study group showed considerable significant improvement and decrease in intensity (P<0.01), however, there were no differences in the incidence of epigastric pain between the study groups over study intervals except in groups 1 patient were notably decreased after 1

month though not significant (P>0.05) and except in groups 2 and 4 patient bloating was notably decreased after 1 month and significantly after 2 months (P<0.01). In addition, there were no differences in the incidence of flatulence over study intervals but only in groups 2 patient flatulence was significantly decreased after 2 months (P<0.01), (Table 2).

Epigastric pain										
Study	Group 1		Grou	p 2	Group 3		Group 4			
Groups	(n=2	3)	(n=19)		(n=18	)	(n=17)			
Study Interval	Incidence n (%)	Intensity	P valu e							
Baseline	16(69.56)	3.46	16(84.2)	3.68	17(94.44)	3.75	14(82.35)	3.65	0.19 <sup>NS</sup>	
7 day	9(39.13)	2.57	8(42.1)	2.32	12(66.66)	2.36	12(70.58)	2.53	$0.17^{NS}$	
1 month	4(17.39)	2.0	6(31.57)	1.97	9(50.00)	2.11	7(41.17)	2.11	$0.09^{NS}$	
2 month	3(13.04)	1.98	5(26.31)	2.03	7(38.88)	1.78	4(23.52)	1.71	0.20 <sup>NS</sup>	
Percent of	-42.77%		-44.83%		-52.53%		-53.15%			
P value	0.00**		0.00**		0.00**		0.00**			
1 vulue	0.00		0.00	Bloa	ting					
Baseline	21(91.3)	3.70	19(100)	3.92	18(100)	3.86	15(88.23)	3.76	0.08 <sup>NS</sup>	
7 day	13(56.52)	2.22	12(63.1)	2.39	12(66.66)	2.03	11(64.7)	2.50	0.34 <sup>NS</sup>	
1 month	12(52.17)	1.93	8(42.1)	1.82	13(72.22)	2.11	4(23.52)	1.88	0.09 <sup>NS</sup>	
2 month	15(65.21)	2.15	9(47.36)	1.87	14(77.77)	2.00	5(29.41)	1.85	$0.02^{**}$	
Percent of	-41.899	%	-52.29%		-48.18%		-50.79%			
change										
P value	0.00**	k	0.00	**	$0.00^{**}$		$0.00^{*}$	*		
				Flatu	lence					
Baseline	22(95.65)	3.76	19(100)	3.92	18 (100)	3.86	15(88.23)	3.76	0.16 <sup>NS</sup>	
7 day	16(69.56)	2.35	12(63.1)	2.45	11(61.11)	1.97	11 (64.7)	2.47	0.41 <sup>NS</sup>	
1 month	13(56.52)	1.83	7(36.84)	1.79	13(72.22)	2.17	4 (23.52)	1.85	$0.05^{*}$	
2 month	16(69.56)	2.07	8 (42.1)	1.84	13(72.22)	2.00	6 (35.29)	1.91	0.02**	
Percent of	-44.959	%	-53.06%		-48.18%		-49.20%			
change										
P value	0.00**	k	0.00	**	$0.00^{**}$		0.00*	*		

# Table (2): Incidence and Intensity of Epigastric pain, Bloating and Flatulence in Patients with H. pylori- Induced PUD throughout the study Intervals

Data presented as mean rank (intensity), (n) is the number of patients and (%) is a percentage. Fisher exact probability test to compare variables between study groups, Friedman test to compare variables in the same group at different periods, NS: Not significant (P>0.05), <sup>(\*\*)</sup> (P<0.01) is considered highly significant.

The mean incidence and intensity of taste disturbance and loss of appetite throughout the study period from the baseline, 7 days, 1 month, and 2 months within each study group showed considerable significant improvement and

decrease in intensity (P<0.01), however, there were no differences in the incidence of taste disturbance between the study groups over study intervals (P>0.05), (Table 3).

Taste disturbance											
Study	Grou	p 1	Group 2		Group 3		Group 4				
groups	(n=2	3)	( <b>n=19</b> )		( <b>n=18</b> )		(				
Study interval s	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	P value		
Baseline	10(43.47)	2.93	14(73.6)	3.39	14(77.77)	3.39	14(82.35)	3.41	0.19 <sup>NS</sup>		
7 day	10(43.47)	2.89	9(47.36)	2.47	13(72.22)	2.97	13(76.47)	2.82	0.29 <sup>NS</sup>		
1 month	1(4.34)	1.98	5(26.31)	2.03	3(16.66)	1.83	5(29.41)	1.88	0.10 <sup>NS</sup>		
2 months	4(17.39)	2.20	5(26.31)	2.11	3(16.66)	1.81	4(23.52)	1.88	0.93 <sup>NS</sup>		
Percent of	-24.91	%	-32.57%		-46.61%		-44.87%				
change											
P value	0.00	**	0.00	**	$0.00^{**}$		$0.00^{**}$				
			Lo	ss of a	ppetite						
Baseline	12(52.17)	3.17	11(57.8)	3.11	14(77.77)	3.53	9(52.94)	3.06	0.16 <sup>NS</sup>		
7 day	7(30.43)	2.57	4(21.05)	2.13	10(55.55)	2.53	5(29.41)	2.29	0.06 <sup>NS</sup>		
1 month	2(8.69)	2.09	5(26.31)	2.32	5(27.77)	2.03	3(17.64)	2.29	0.33 <sup>NS</sup>		
2 months	3(13.04)	2.17	6(31.57)	2.45	5(27.77)	1.92	4(23.52)	2.35	0.85 <sup>NS</sup>		
Percent of change	-31.55%		-21.22%		-45.61%		-23.20%				
P value	0.00	**	0.01	**	0.00**		0.04**				

# Table (3): Incidence and Intensity of Taste disturbance and Loss of appetite in Patients with H. pylori- Induced PUD throughout the study Intervals

Nau sea

and vomiting were significantly decreased in the mean incidence and intensity of throughout the study intervals from the baseline, 7 days, 1 month, and 2 months within each study group (P < 0.01)

in group 1 patients, however, no differences in vomiting between the study groups over study intervals was noticed (P>0.05), (Table 4).

exc

ept

 Table (4): Incidence and Intensity of Nausea and Vomiting in Patients with H.

 pylori- Induced PUD throughout the study Intervals

Nausea										
Study	Group	1	Grou	ւթ 2	Group 3		Group 4			
group	(n=23	3)	(n=19)		(n=18)		(n=17)			
Study intervals	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	P value	
Baseline	5(21.73)	2.70	11(57.8)	3.21	15(83.33)	3.58	9(52.94)	3.18	0.01**	
7 day	3(13.04)	2.34	8(42.1)	2.34	7(38.88)	2.39	7(41.17)	2.53	0.21 <sup>NS</sup>	
1 month	3(13.04)	2.39	7(36.84)	2.21	4(22.22)	2.11	3(17.64)	2.24	0.43 <sup>NS</sup>	
2 month	4(17.39)	2.48	6(31.57)	2.24	3(16.66)	1.92	2(11.76)	2.06	0.43 <sup>NS</sup>	
Percent of	-8.15	%	-30.21%		-46.36%		-35.22%			
change										
P value	0.44 <sup>N</sup>	IS	0.00**		0.00**		0.00**			
				Vomi	ting					
Baseline	1(4.34)	2.57	4(21.05)	2.82	8(44.44)	3.11	5(29.41)	2.94	0.05*	
7 day	0(0.0)	2.48	1(5.26)	2.45	2(11.11)	2.39	2(11.76)	2.47	0.62 <sup>NS</sup>	
1 month	0(0.0)	2.48	0(0.0)	2.37	1(5.55)	2.31	0(0.0)	2.29	0.46 <sup>NS</sup>	
2 month	0(0.0)	2.48	0(0.0)	2.37	1(5.55)	2.39	0 (0.0)	2.29	0.46 <sup>NS</sup>	
Percent of	-3.50	%	-15.9	-15.96%		6	-22.10%			
change										
P value	0.39 <sup>N</sup>	IS	0.01	**	0.00**		0.00**			

There was highly significant decrease in the mean incidence of heartburn in the early study intervals in group 1 and 2 patients particularly on 7 day visit (P<0.01) compared to group 3 and 4 patients, and the heartburn significantly decreased in the mean incidence and intensity of throughout the study intervals from the baseline, 7 days, 1 month, and 2 months within each study group (P<0.01), however, the symptom recurred again after 2 months in all study groups with the least incidence in group 1 and 2 patients, (Table 5).

Table (5): Incidence and Intensity of Heartburn in Patients with H. pylori- Induced PUD
throughout the study Intervals

Heartburn										
Study	Group 1		Group 2		Group 3		Group 4			
groups	(n=2.	3)	(n=1	.9)	(n=18)		(n=17)			
Study intervals	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	P value	
Baseline	14(60.86)	3.26	11(57.8)	3.26	16(88.88)	3.78	14(82.35)	3.47	0.09 <sup>NS</sup>	
7 day	1(4.34)	2.09	4(21.05)	2.37	7(38.88)	2.06	9(52.94)	2.38	0.00**	
1 month	4(17.39)	2.22	1(5.26)	2.03	6(33.33)	2.03	6(35.29)	2.09	0.11 <sup>NS</sup>	
2 month	6(26.08)	2.43	4(21.05)	2.34	8(44.44)	2.14	7(41.17)	2.06	0.52 <sup>NS</sup>	
Percent of	-25.46%		-28.22%		-43.38%		-40.63%			
change										
P value	0.00	**	0.00**	,	0.00	**	0.00	0.00**		

The mean incidence and intensity of diarrhea and constipation were signifycantly decreased throughout the study intervals from the baseline, 7 days, 1 month, and 2 months within study group 3 only (P<0.01) compared to other groups, however, there was no differences in diarrhea between the study groups over study intervals (P>0.05), (Table 6).

Table (6): Incidence and Intensity of Diarrhea and Constipation in Patients with H.pylori- Induced PUD throughout the study Intervals

Diarrhea										
Study	Grou	p 1	Grou	р 2	Group 3		Group 4			
group	(n=2	3)	(n=19)		(n=18)		(			
Study intervals	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	Incidence n%	Intensity	<i>P</i> value	
Baseline	5(21.73)	2.74	4(21.05)	2.66	7 (38.88)	2.86	2 (11.76)	2.59	0.66 <sup>NS</sup>	
7 day	4(17.39)	2.57	4(21.05)	2.61	6 (33.33)	2.64	2(11.76)	2.53	0.86 <sup>NS</sup>	
1 month	1(4.34)	2.30	2(10.52)	2.32	3 (16.66)	2.33	1 (5.88)	2.44	0.75 <sup>NS</sup>	
2 month	2(8.69)	2.39	3(15.78)	2.42	2 (11.11)	2.17	1 (5.88)	2.44	0.85 <sup>NS</sup>	
Percent of	-12.7	7%	-9.02%		-24.13%		-5.79%			
change										
P value	0.10	NS	0.36 <sup>NS</sup>		0.02**		0.73 <sup>NS</sup>			
				Consti	pation					
Baseline	3 (13.04)	2.63	2 (10.52)	2.61	5(27.77)	2.92	1 (5.88)	2.53	0.14 <sup>NS</sup>	
7 day	1 (4.34)	2.43	2 (10.52)	2.50	0(0.0)	2.36	0 (0.0)	2.41	0.15 <sup>NS</sup>	
1 month	1 (4.34)	2.43	2 (10.52)	2.50	0(0.0)	2.36	1 (5.88)	2.56	0.36 <sup>NS</sup>	
2 month	2 (8.69)	2.50	1 (5.26)	2.39	0 (0.0)	2.36	1 (5.88)	2.50	0.84 <sup>NS</sup>	
Percent of	-4.94%		-8.43	\$%	-19.18	3%	-1.18%			
change										
P value	0.51	NS	0.49	NS	0.00	**	0.71 <sup>NS</sup>			

Assessment of Quality of Life (QOL) of Patients with *H. pylori-* Induced PUD treated with Eradication Triple Therapy Alone or in Combination with Probiotic After 8 weeks of treatment, there was highly significant increase in the eight domains of the SF-8 score (PF, RP, BP, GH, VT, SF, RE, MH, PCS and MCS) in all 4 groups compared to pretreatment (P<0.01), but no significant difference between the study groups after treatment was noticed (P>0.05) (Figure 1)



Figure (1): Comparison of QOL mean scores of the SF-8 questionnaire in study groups patient's pre and post-treatment

#### Discussion

**Demographic Data and Disease** Characteristics of Patients with H. *pylori* Induced Peptic Ulcer Disease In the present study and in other studies, both genders have a higher rate of infection with H. pylori microrganism with slight predominance towards male patients in this study and others <sup>[10, 11]</sup>, or towards female gender in other studies <sup>[12-14]</sup>. Moreover, H. pylori infection mostly presents within (21-40) years age groups in this study, and a lower prevalence rate of H. pylori infection was noticed in the elderly among all study groups. Two hypotheses have been proposed to explain these findings; the first, in old age H. pylori could be present in a small number or low activation which might not be detected, and second, the history of *H. pylori* infection may be overlapped by the development of an

unfavorable gastric environment with aging <sup>[15]</sup>. Most patients in the present study were slightly overweight among the study groups. Comparable results were found in other studies where individuals with a higher BMI were more likely to be positive for *H. pylori* infection than those with a lower BMI or with an increased risk <sup>[16]</sup>, and a positive relation between obesity and *H. pylori* infection were revealed <sup>[17]</sup>. Majority patients in the current study presented with duration of symptoms of less than one year where the patients presented with high intensity of dyspeptic symptoms.

# AJPS, 2018, Vol. 18, No.2 11-2018

#### Assessment of Dyspeptic Symptoms of PUD Patients on *H. pylori* Eradication Triple Therapy Alone and in Combination with Probiotics

Presence and intensity of a number of caused by *H. pylori* symptoms infection should be monitored <sup>[18]</sup>. Increasing intensity in the symptoms during and after treatment was considered as adverse effects, and low compliance, mainly because of adverse events due to antibiotics is a substantial problem that requires a different line of treatment <sup>[19, 20]</sup>. Probiotics could represent a valid support to the standard triple therapy, and such a combination means that the patient still receives a certain "gold standard" in terms of therapy, and potentially get a benefit from probiotics adjuvant addressing both issues that affect standard triple therapy efficacy via reducing the frequency of side-effects of antibiotic hence increasing patient compliance in one arm <sup>[21, 22]</sup>, and eliminating the need for additional antibiotics, thus greatly reduce possibility for antibiotic resistance in another arm <sup>[20]</sup>.

The results of the current study revealed that there was highly significent decrease (P < 0.01) in the incidence and intensity of symptom score caused by adverse effects of both infection and therapy in terms of epigastric pain, bloating, flatulence, taste disturbance, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting, and heartburn after 2 months of treatment within each study group. The improvement in the bloa-ting and flatulence was noticed in patients receiving 14 days probiotics prior combining to standard *H. pylori* triple therapy (before) compared to other protocols of therapy (-52.29% and -53.06%) (P < 0.01) after 2 months respectively, meanwhile the improvement in the intensity of epigastric pain score was noticed in this group of patients early after one month only and

no significant difference between groups thereafter. Also, no significant difference between groups in respect to taste disturbance, loss of appetite, nausea, and vomiting (P > 0.05), except for the intensity of heartburn was significantly reduced within 7 days inpatient on probiotic supplement of all protocols compared to the standard H. *pylori* eradication triple therapy (P <0.01). The incidence and intensity of diarrhea and constipation were significantly decreased in patients continue for 14 days probiotics therapy after combining to standard *H. pylori* triple therapy (after) compared to other protocols of therapy (P < 0.01), and the least percent of change was found in patients on standard H. pylori eradication triple therapy. These findings were inconsistent with that of Hauser et al. (2015), where adding probiotic supplement containing Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG1) and Bifidobacterium (BB-121) in the concentration of  $10^8$  to  $10^{10}$  CFU to the standard H. pylori eradication triple therapy produced more pronounced reduction in disease symptoms in the probiotic arm (7 out of 10 symptoms P< 0.05) as early as at 2 weeks of treatment, that is to say, after the discontinuation of antibiotic and before continuing on PPI monotherapy for 3 weeks [18].

On the contrary, Navarro-Rodriguez et al. (2013) in his study found that probiotics (Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Bifidobacterium bifidum and Streptococcus Faecium), which are similar to those used in the present study, when administered for days 30 after combining to antibiotic regimen ( Lansoprazole 30 mg, Tetracycline 500 and Furazolidone 200 mg mg administered twice a day for 7 days) did not show any increase in bacterial eradication effectiveness or decrease in

# AJPS, 2018, Vol. 18, No.2 11-2018

adverse effects of *H. pylori* eradication treatment among Brazilian patients <sup>[19]</sup>. Several meta-analysis concluded that the administration of probiotics can ameliorate the symptoms and reduce the adverse effects associated with eradication therapy for *H. pylori*, such as diarrhea, vomiting, nausea and epigastric pain <sup>[23-25]</sup>.

#### Assessment of QOL of PUD Patients on *H. pylori* Eradication Triple Therapy Alone and in Combination with Probiotics

The role of QOL assessment has gained increased attention among gastrointestinal researchers in recent years. The SF-8 generates a health profile of eight discrete scores descryibing health-related quality of life (HRQL), which are summarized into PCS and MCS continuous summary scores <sup>[26]</sup>.

In the present study, the SF-8 questionnaire showed that both the PCS and MCS scores including all components were significantly improved in all patients after *H. pylori* eradication within each study group (P<0.01), though, there was no significant difference in the QOL score between study groups in all domains (P>0.05) posttreatment.

Many other previous studies reported the improvement of QOL in patients with peptic ulcers treated with standard H. pylori eradication triple therapy, but no available report deals with the impact of probiotic as monotherapy or as an adjuvant on patients QOL. Nevertheless, with reference to the improvement in the dyspeptic symptoms particularly in bloating and flatulence which was noticed in patients receiving 14 days probiotics prior combining to standard H. pylori triple therapy (before) after 2 months in this study compared to other protocols of therapy and the improvement in the intensity of epigastric pain score early

after one month, consequently may potentially improve the QOL of PUD patients.

A recent report by Taguchi *et al.* (2017), the SF-8 questionnaire of both the PCS and MCS scores were significantly improved after standard *H. pylori* eradication triple therapy (Rabeprazole, 10mg; Amoxicillin, 750 mg; Clarithromycin, 200mg; b.i.d. for 7 days) by using the SF-8 Japanese version, where (PCS; P = 0.04, MCS; P = 0.004) in all patients. This improvement in *H. pylori*-positive patients was regardless of the presence or absence of epigastric symptoms <sup>[7]</sup>.

Wen *et al.* (2014) also reported that patients with chronic gastritis had poor QOL compared to patients with peptic ulcers (except for PF domain), and both groups had lower QOL score compared to population norms (except for MH domain). After treatment, both groups experienced improved QOL after treatments (except for RP domain for both diseases) <sup>[27]</sup>.

In a recent study by Kabakambira *et al.* (2018) evaluated the impact of HR QOL using the Short Form Nepean Dyspepsia Index (SF-NDI) questionnnaire of PUD patients on standard antibiotic based triple therapy for 10 days, the improvement was much less in the metronidazole-based triple therapy than in the standard of care <sup>[28]</sup>.

# Conclusion

From the present study concluded that the administration of probiotics as adjuvant therapy to the standard *H. pylori* eradication triple therapy may suggest a significant role in improving the symptoms and reduce the adverse effects accompanying with the eradication therapy for *H. pylori* thus improving patient's quality of life.

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank all participants for providing the practice platform of this study.

### **References**:

- 1- Abu-Sbeih RS, Hawari AD, Hassawi DS, Al-Daghistani HI. Isolation and detection of Helicobacter pylori from patients suffering from peptic ulcer using biochemical tests and molecular techniques. Am J Biochem Biotechn. 2014; 10(1):58-68.
- 2- Roy S. Clinical study of peptic ulcer disease. Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sci. 2016; 6 (53):41-43.
- 3- Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection the Maastricht IV/Florence consensusreport. Gut 2012; 61:646-64.
- 4- Bell GD, Powell K, Burridge SM, et al: Experience with 'triple' anti Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy: side effects and the importance of testing the pretreatment bacterial isolate for metronidazole resistance. Aliment Pharmacol Ther.1992; 6: 427 35.
- 5- Muhan LÜ, Yu S, Deng J, Yan Q, Yang C, Xia G, Zhou X. Efficacy of probiotic supplementation therapy for Helicobacter pylori eradication: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PloS one. 2016; 11(10):1-26.
- 6- Homan M, and Orel R. Are probiotics useful in Helicobacter pylori eradication? W J Gastroenterol.2015; 21(37):10644-106 53.
- 7- Taguchi H, Kanmura S, Maeda T, Iwaya H, Arima S, Sasaki F, et al. Helicobacter pylori eradication improves the quality of life regardless of the treatment outcome. Medicine. 2017; 96(52):1-9.
- 8- Short forum guide (Sf-8 is a trademark of Quality Metric Incorporated. [Cited at July/2018] available at http://cam paign. optum. com/content/optum/en/optum-

outcomes/what-we-do/healthsurveys/sf-8-health-survey.html.

- 9- Ruggiero P. Use of probiotics in the fight against Helicobacter pylori. W J Gastrointest Pathophysiol. 2014;5 (4):384-91.
- 10- Bello AK, Umar AB and Borodo MM. Prevalence and risk factors for Helicobacter pylori infection in gastroduodenal diseases in Kano, Nigeria. Afr J Med Health Sci. 2018; 17(1):41-6.
- 11- Basílio IL, Catão MD, Carvalho JD, et al. Risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection in an urban community in Northeast Brazil and the relationship between the infection and gastric diseases. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2018; 51(2 ):183-9.
- 12- AL-Mashhadany DH, Ismael LQ and Zaki AM. Seroprevalence of Helicobacter pylori among human in Erbil governorate, Kurdistan region, Iraq. Res J Life Sci Bioinfo Pharm Chem Sci 2018;269-80.
- 13- Abbas SH, Abdulridha MK and Najeb AA. Potential benefit of curcumin adjuvant therapy to the standard Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy in patients with peptic ulcer disease. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2017; 10(5):313-17.
- 14- Ayar DS. Current eradication rate of Helicobacter pylori with clarithromycin-based triple therapy in a gastroenterology practice in the New York metropolitan area. Infect Drug resist. 2018; 11:205-11.
- 15- Zhu Y, Zhou X, Wu J, Su J, Zhang G. Risk factors and prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection in persistent high incidence area of gastric carcinoma in Yangzhong city. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2014 ;1-11.
- 16- Xu C, Yan M, Sun Y, et al, Coleman Jr WG. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection and

# AJPS, 2018, Vol. 18, No.2 11-2018

its relationship with body mass index in a Chinese population. Helicobacter. 2014; 19(6):437-42.

- 17- Ahn HJ, Kim DP, Chu MS, Yun HJ, Kim SH, Lee SW, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the Triple Therapy Containing Ilaprazole, Levofloxacin, and Amoxicillin as First-Line Treatment in He-licobacter pylori Infections. Gas-troenterol Res Prac 2017; 1-8.
- 18- Hauser G, Salkic N, Vukelic K, el al. Probiotics for standard triple Helicobacter pylori eradication: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Medicine. 2015 ; 94(17):1-6.
- 19- T. Navarro-Rodriguez et al., Association of a probiotic to a Helicobacter pylori eradication regimen does not increase efficacy or decreases the adverse effects of the treatment: A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2013; 13:56.
- 20- Patel A, Shah N, and Prajapati JB. Clinical appliance of probiotics in the treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection: a brief review. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2006; 8:103–109.
- 21- Padilla Ruiz M, Ferna'ndez Aguiar ME, Arce Nun'ez M, et al. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG supplementation to reduce sideeffects of anti-Helicobacter pylori treatment. Rev Gastroenterol Peru. 2013; 33:121–30.
- 22- Jonkers D, and Stockbruegger R. Review article: Probiotics in gastrointestinal and liver diseases. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2007; 26 133–48.
- 23- Ahmad K, Fatemeh F, Mehri N and Maryam S: Probiotics for the treatment of pediatric Helicobacter pylori infection: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. Iran J Pediatr. 2013; 23: 79 84.

- 24- Bekar O, Yilmaz Y and Gulten M: Kefir improves the efficacy and tolerability of triple therapy in eradicating Helicobacter pylori. J Med Food. 2011; 14: 344 47.
- 25- De Bortoli N, Leonardi G, and Ciancia E. Helicobacter pylori eradication: a randomized prospective study of triple therapy versus triple therapy plus lactoferrin and probiotics. Am J Gastroenterol. 2007; 102: 951 6.
- 26- Lefante JJ, Harmon GN, Ashby KM, Barnard D, Webber LS. Use of the SF-8 to assess health-related quality of life for a chronically ill, low-income population participating in the Central Louisiana Medication Access Program (CM AP). Qual Life Res. 2005 ;14(3) :665-673
- 27- Wen Z, Li X, Lu Q, Brunson J, Zhao M, Tan J, et al. Health related quality of life in patients with chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer and factors with impact: a longitudinal study. BMC Gastroenterol .2014;14(1):1-10.
- 28- Kabakambira, Jean Damascene, et al. Efficacy of Helicobacter pylori eradication regimens in Rwanda: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Gastroenterol. 2018;(18):134-43.